TFSP Response to NPCC Request for Criteria Clarification

Note: a Clarification cannot be used to revise the Criteria within a Directory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request for a Clarification of Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date submitted: August 28, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date revised version submitted:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact information for person requesting the clarification:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: Reena Goyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization: IESO - Market Assessment and Compliance Division (MACD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (416) 506-2857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:reena.goyal@ieso.ca">reena.goyal@ieso.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identify the Directory that contains the Criteria requiring clarification:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directory Number : Directory 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directory Title: Maintenance Criteria for Bulk Power System Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identify specifically what portion of the Criteria needs clarification:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6.2 Facilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"These criteria shall apply to all protection of the NPCC bulk power system, including Type I special protection systems and protection required for the NPCC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding Program.

Automatic underfrequency load shedding protection systems and generator
underfrequency tripping relays are not generally located at bulk power system stations; however, they have a direct effect on the operation of the bulk power system during major emergencies, and as such, they are subject to these criteria.”

And

5.7.1

“Protection group DC circuit tests, battery bank and charger tests and breaker trip tests for protection required by the NPCC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding Program, need not be performed more frequently than the protection group DC circuit tests, battery bank and charger tests and breaker trip tests for other protection on the same breaker. Because of the distributed nature of this load shedding protection, random failures to trip do not compromise the objectives of the NPCC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding Program.”

Identify the material impact associated with the lack of clarity:

Directory 3 is unclear about its applicability on the load rejection (LR) protection elements, of Type I SPS, that are not BPS. Also due to the similarity in functionality between the LR protection and the UFLS protection, it is unclear if the provision (exception) given in section 5.7.1 to the UFLS is also applicable on the LR protection elements.

As the entity responsible for enforcing compliance with NPCC Directories and NERC standards in Ontario, IESO-MACD needs to have a clear understanding of all Directory 3 requirements to adequately assess market participants’ compliance with these requirements.
The following clarification of the criteria in Directory 3 was developed by the Task Force on System Protection.

### Directory Number and Text of Requirement

#### 1.6.2 Facilities

“These criteria shall apply to all protection of the NPCC bulk power system (BPS), including Type I special protection systems (SPS) and protection required for the NPCC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS) Program.

Automatic underfrequency load shedding protection systems and generator underfrequency tripping relays are not generally located at bulk power system stations; however, they have a direct effect on the operation of the bulk power system during major emergencies, and as such, they are subject to these criteria.”

#### Question 1

Please indicate whether Directory 3 applies to those components of Type I SPS that may fall outside of the BPS.

#### Response to Question 1

Directory 3 applies to all protection components of a Type I SPS, regardless of a component designation with respect to the BPS.

#### Directory Number and Text of Requirement

#### 5.7.1

“Protection group DC circuit tests, battery bank and charger tests and breaker trip tests for protection required by the NPCC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding Program, need not be performed more frequently than the protection group DC circuit tests, battery bank and charger tests and breaker trip tests for other protection on the same breaker. Because of the distributed nature of this load shedding protection, random failures to trip do not compromise the objectives of the NPCC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding Program.”

#### Question 2

Based on the TFSP interpretation provided to Acumen Engineered Solutions International Inc., we understand that:
- sections 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6 of Directory 3 are applicable to UFLS protection equipment, and
- Section 5.7.1 of Directory 3 allows UFLS protection equipment to be tested at intervals that may differ from the intervals specified in sections 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6 of Directory 3.

Given that the protection related the Load Rejection (LR) component of a Type I SPS can, similar to the UFLS protection, be distributed in nature, IESO-MACD would like to know whether Directory 3 permits LR protection equipment associated with Type I SPS to be tested at intervals that may differ from the intervals specified in sections 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6 of Directory 3.

**Response to Question 2**

The language in Directory 3 is clear and there is no exception given in Section 5.7.1 for load rejection (LR) as part of a Type I SPS.
TFSP Response to NPCC Request for Criteria Clarification

**Note:** a Clarification cannot be used to revise the Criteria within a Directory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request for a Clarification of Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date submitted: Sept 11, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date revised version submitted:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact information for person requesting the clarification:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name: Reena Goyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization: IESO - Market Assessment and Compliance Division (MACD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone: (416) 506-2857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:reena.goyal@ieso.ca">reena.goyal@ieso.ca</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Identify the Directory that contains the Criteria requiring clarification:**

Directory Number : Directory 3

Directory Title: Maintenance Criteria for Bulk Power System Protection

**Identify specifically what portion of the Criteria needs clarification:**

**7.0 Compliance Monitoring**

“Adherence to requirements in this Directory must be reported in a manner and form designated by the Compliance Committee. Exceptions to the requirements stipulated herein are acceptable if the exceptions are completely removed within five (5) months of the end of the calendar year in which the testing is due. The intervals specified in this document refer to calendar year in which testing is due regardless of the date.”
5.5 Breaker Trip Testing Requirements

“The ability of the breaker(s) to trip via each trip coil shall be verified every two years with
the following exception. Nuclear plant bulk power system unit breaker trip tests shall be
completed at an interval not to exceed three years.”

Identify the material impact associated with the lack of clarity:

Directory 3 is unclear about the applicability of the exception stipulated in Section 7.0 on
the various equipment identified in Sections 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7.

As the entity responsible for enforcing compliance with NPCC Directories and NERC
standards in Ontario, IESO-MACD needs to have a clear understanding of all Directory 3
requirements to adequately assess market participants’ compliance with these
requirements.
The following clarification of the criteria in Directory 3 was developed by the Task Force on System Protection.

**Directory Number and Text of Requirement**

### 7.0 Compliance Monitoring

“Adherence to requirements in this Directory must be reported in a manner and form designated by the Compliance Committee. Exceptions to the requirements stipulated herein are acceptable if the exceptions are completely removed within five (5) months of the end of the calendar year in which the testing is due. The intervals specified in this document refer to calendar year in which testing is due regardless of the date.”

**Question 3**

Please identify which of Sections 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7 are subject to the exception stipulated in Section 7.0 and which of these sections are not. If applicable, please indicate whether there any exceptions to the application of section 7.0 to parts of sections 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7

**Response to Question 3**

The exception stipulated in Section 7 can be applied to maintenance associated with testing that is due per calendar year(s) in 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7. Monthly testing in 5.4 and 5.6.2 is not granted the exception identified in Section 7.

**Directory Number and Text of Requirement**

### 5.5 Breaker Trip Testing Requirements

“The ability of the breaker(s) to trip via each trip coil shall be verified every two years with the following exception. Nuclear plant bulk power system unit breaker trip tests shall be completed at an interval not to exceed three years.”

**Question 4**

Section 5.5 gives an exception to unit breakers at BPS nuclear plants in that they are allowed to be tested at an interval not exceeding three years. Does Section 7.0 also apply in this case, i.e. are unit breakers at nuclear BPS plants allowed to have their test completed within five months of the end of the calendar year in which the testing is due?

For example, a nuclear unit had their unit breaker tested in 2011 and, based on a three year cycle, the next test is understood to be required by the end of 2014. If the test is not
completed by December 31, 2014, is it admissible that the breaker is tested by May 31, 2015?

**Response to Question 4**

Yes.