Unofficial Comment Form
Project 2017-02 Modifications to Personnel Performance, Training and Qualifications Standards

Do not use this form for submitting comments. Use the electronic form to submit comments on the Standards Authorization Request for the 2017-02 Modifications to Personnel Performance, Training, and Qualifications (PER) Standards project. The electronic form must be submitted by 8 p.m. Eastern, Monday, July 24, 2016.

Documents and information about this project are available on the Project 2017-02 Modifications to PER Standards page. If you have questions, contact Senior Standards Developer, Darrel Richardson or at (609) 613-1848.

Background
The periodic review project reviewed the following two PER standards.

- PER-003-1 – Operating Personnel Credentials
- PER-004-2 – Reliability Coordination - Staffing

PER-001-0.2 was not reviewed during the periodic review. This standard was approved for retirement under FERC Order 817. Therefore this project only reviewed PER-003-1 and PER-004-2.

The PER periodic review team (PER PRT) used the background information, along with any associated worksheets or reference documents (such as the Independent Expert Review Project report, and Paragraph 81 criteria) to guide a comprehensive review that would result in a recommendation from one of the following three (3) choices:

1. Recommend re-affirming the Standard;
2. Recommend revising the Standard; or
3. Recommend retirement of the standard.

The PER PRT developed this Standard Authorization Request (SAR) to implement their recommendations. The SAR proposes to make a minor modification to PER-003-1 and retire PER-004-2. Please provide your responses to the questions listed below along with any detailed comments.
Questions

1. The PRT is recommending that a clarifying footnote be added to all of the requirements in PER-003-1. The PRT is suggesting that the footnote state the following: “The certifications referenced under the standard are those under the NERC System Operator Certification Program.” Do you agree that this footnote would provide the necessary clarity? If not, please explain in the comment area below.

☐ Yes
☐ No

Comments:

2. The PRT suggests that PER-004-2 be retired based on the identified duplicate requirements. Do you agree that this standard should be retired? If not, please explain in the comment area below.

☐ Yes
☐ No

Comments:

3. Do you know of any additional requirements that the PRT has not identified to justify the retirement of PER-004-2? If yes, please identify the standard and requirement in the comment area below.

☐ Yes
☐ No

Comments: