Unofficial Comment Form for 2013-2015 Reliability Standards Development Plan

Please DO NOT respond using this form, as it is provided for explanation only. Please use the electronic comment form to provide comments on the 2013-2015 Reliability Standards Development Plan (Plan). The electronic comment form must be completed and submitted by 8:00 p.m. ET on Tuesday, September 18, 2012.

If you have questions please contact Valerie Agnew (via email) or by telephone at (404) 446-2560.

2012-2014 Reliability Standards Development Plan

Background Information
NERC is in the process of developing the Plan, which will address how NERC prioritizes the projects that will be put into development as current projects are completed. This Plan was developed using a methodology designed to provide an objective method for prioritizing projects. Thus, at this stage of developing the Plan, comments should be focused on the ranking of each of the criteria provided in the downloadable excel spreadsheet rather than the final result. In formulating comments, use the excel versions of the prioritization spreadsheets (one includes all projects; one eliminates the currently active projects). The PDF spreadsheets are provided for information only to show how, using the currently identified rankings, the projects would be prioritized.

Information obtained from the comments received will be utilized to develop the final version of the Plan. The final version of the Plan will be developed to incorporate the top eight projects from the Reliability sort, the top three projects from the Time Sensitive sort, and the top two projects from the Practicality sort, as possible. The number of projects from each category may be adjusted based on the degree of complexity in the top projects, the completion of technical research, and the availability of resources required for a specific project or other considerations. Unless brought into priority status due to a necessary adjustment, other projects will be deferred pending completion of the top priority projects. While any work plan is unlikely to be seen as perfect in the eyes of all constituents, please remember that the Plan is a guide only and will be adjusted for emerging issues, necessary adjustments or other demands that are deemed to take priority.

1. Regarding the rankings - Is there any ranking in the prioritization spreadsheet (using the excel version that does not include the currently active projects) that is significantly below where it should be ranked? If yes, please explain.
   □ Yes
   □ No
   Comments:
2. Regarding the results - Are there projects in the Plan that may be deferred that should not wait? If yes, please explain.

☐ Yes
☐ No

Comments:

3. Two projects that are continuations of a current project were not ranked as a top priority. Should either of these projects be moved into the top priority to complete the project?

   • Project 2006-06.2 Phase 2 of Reliability Coordination
   • Project 2010-14.1 Phase 2 of Balancing Authority Reliability-based Control: Time Error, AGC, and Inadvertent

☐ Yes
☐ No

Comments:

4. Please provide any additional comments you would care to offer.

Comments: Projects 2009-01 (EOP-004) and 2007-02 (COM-003) are documentation Standards labeled with a high priority. Their priority should be lowered because they do not address a reliability issue.